

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,757	10/06/2000	Joseph B. Rowlands	5580-00700	2137
7:	590 09/10/2003			
Lawrence J. Merkel Conley, Rose & Tayon, P.C. P.O. Box 398			EXAMINER	
			VO, TIM T	
Austin, TX 78	3767-0398		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
			2189	7
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	j

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A S
Advisory Action	09/680,757	ROWLANDS ET AL	·
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim T. Vo	2189	1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 is ion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Cinilo	
		Tim T. Vo	

Tim T. Vo Examiner Art Unit: 2189 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/680,757





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For purposes of Appeal, claims 1-6,8-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thayer et al. patent 5,168,568.